

IEB Working Paper 2016/17

MODELLING ADULT SKILLS IN OECD COUNTRIES

**Rosario Ivano Scandurra, Jorge Calero**

**Human Capital**

IEB Working Paper

**MODELLING ADULT SKILLS IN OECD COUNTRIES**

Rosario Ivano Scandurra, Jorge Calero

The **IEB** research group in **Human Capital** aims at promoting research in the Economics of Education. The main objective of this group is to foster research related to the education and training of individuals and to promote the analysis of education systems and policies from an economic perspective. Some topics are particularly relevant: Evaluation of education and training policies; monetary and non-monetary effects of education; analysis of the international assessments of the skills of the young (PISA, PIRLS, TIMMS) and adult populations (PIAAC, IALS); education and equality, considering the inclusion of the disabled in the education system; and lifelong learning. This group puts special emphasis on applied research and on work that sheds light on policy-design issues. Moreover, research focused in Spain is given special consideration. Disseminating research findings to a broader audience is also an aim of the group. This research group enjoys the support from the **IEB-Foundation**.

The **Barcelona Institute of Economics (IEB)** is a research centre at the University of Barcelona (UB) which specializes in the field of applied economics. The **IEB** is a foundation funded by the following institutions: Applus, Abertis, Ajuntament de Barcelona, Diputació de Barcelona, Gas Natural, La Caixa and Universitat de Barcelona.

Postal Address:

Institut d'Economia de Barcelona

Facultat d'Economia i Empresa

Universitat de Barcelona

C/ John M. Keynes, 1-11

(08034) Barcelona, Spain

Tel.: + 34 93 403 46 46

[ieb@ub.edu](mailto:ieb@ub.edu)<http://www.ieb.ub.edu>

The IEB working papers represent ongoing research that is circulated to encourage discussion and has not undergone a peer review process. Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of IEB.

## MODELLING ADULT SKILLS IN OECD COUNTRIES

Rosario Ivano Scandurra and Jorge Calero

**ABSTRACT:** Research in the social sciences has focused extensively on the relationship between family background, educational attainment and social destination, on the one hand, and on the processes of skills creation and skills use, on the other. This paper brings these two branches of the literature together by examining the correlation between a range of social factors. The methodology we adopt provides a comprehensive approach to the study of the channels through which literacy skills are acquired, taking into account the interrelation of family background, educational attainment, and the use of skills at work and at home. We use the Programme of International Assessment of Adult Competences (PIAAC) dataset and apply a structural equation model (SEM). Our results show that family background and education play an important role in the configuration of adult skills and skill practices. Unequal family access to resources has a strong impact at later stages in life and strongly affects educational attainment and skills outcomes. Additionally, skills use has a positive and direct impact on adult skills.

JEL Codes: I2, I24

Keywords: Skills, education, family background, SEM, literacy, PIAAC

Rosario Ivano Scandurra  
Departament de Sociologia  
Universitat de Barcelona  
E-mail: [scandurra@ub.edu](mailto:scandurra@ub.edu)

Jorge Calero  
Universitat de Barcelona & IEB  
E-mail: [jorge.calero@ub.edu](mailto:jorge.calero@ub.edu)

## 1. Introduction

In the last few decades, technological upgrading and an expanding knowledge-based economy have accelerated the transformation towards a high-skills equilibrium, which has brought about a radical shift in the labour profile from physical to intellectual-creative work. New technology eliminates jobs via increasing levels of automation, but it is unclear whether there has been a concomitant growth in the creation of high skilled employment. Some argue that the added value of this new economy lies in the competition of new ideas, knowledge and skills, as opposed to the accumulation of material capital (Drucker, 1993; Hanushek & Wößmann, 2008; Hanushek & Kimko, 2000). At the same time, globalization places strict limits on a country's ability to intervene in its economy, thus enhancing international competition. The only way to maintain productivity and the standard of living seems to be to focus on high value added goods and services, based on the application of knowledge, skills and entrepreneurial ideas (Brown, Lauder, & Ashton, 2008; ILO, 2011).

For these reasons, investing in education is considered to be one of the few effective policy strategies for global competitiveness and for meeting the demand for a high-skilled labour force. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Skills Strategy and the report on literacy in the EU *Act Now!* (EU high level group of experts on literacy, 2012; OECD, 2013a) set these political objectives as their strategic goals. Greater participation in education is one way to meet the demands of the changing economy and, in some OECD countries, more than half the population aged between 25 and 34 has tertiary education. As Brown, Lauder, & Ashton (2011) have demonstrated, the new settlement between the individual and the state is increasingly based on a *skills nexus*. Thus, while the state is responsible for providing the opportunity to develop and acquire skills and education as tools for securing social justice and social cohesion, individuals need to find employment for themselves and to sell their skills and knowledge in the global labour market (Brown et al., 2011; Reich, 1992).

This study seeks to disentangle the channels via which skills are acquired and it does so by focusing on the interrelations established across multiple social spheres. As such, the main aim of this paper is to validate a common hypothetical model of the acquisition of adult skills for all OECD countries. Although, many studies have found both within- and between-country variations in adult skills, there are sufficient similarities across the OECD to suggest we might be able to corroborate a general skill-formation model. However, we should acknowledge from the outset that our findings are not specific

to any one country participating in the Programme of International Assessment of Adult Competences (PIAAC). To test whether common tendencies can be identified in the acquisition of skills, we use the maximum information available in the dataset. In the framework developed herein, social spheres constitute the context in which skills are acquired and include family background, educational attainment, and use of skills at work and at home.

Research in the social sciences has focused either on the relationship between family background, educational attainment and social destination, or on the process of skills creation and use and the way to conceptualize the relationship between the individual and skills. Our paper seeks to merge these two branches of literature and to analyse their interactions. We avoid any interpretations that see these social phenomena as having single, direct effects, given that all the dimensions of interest typically intervene in the underlying process. In this sense, educational attainment mediates our model of skills acquisition. For this analysis, we use the PIAAC dataset and we apply structural equation models (SEMs).

Our results show that the role played by education is highly relevant in the configuration of adult skills. Education affects literacy directly and it has a strong influence on the practice of skills. Furthermore, structural elements, including family background, play an important role in shaping education, skills use in the workplace and in daily life. A relevant residual influence of family background is associated with adult skills, indicating that unequal access in the family to resources has a strong impact at later stages in life and strongly affects education and skills outcomes. Yet, skills practice and use have a significant and positive effect on literacy and this clearly points to the potential benefits of lifelong learning policies.

From a general policy perspective, it is critical to understand the role of education and social factors in the development of adult skills. Although unequal access to education affects literacy, active life-long learning policies, with objectives that are clearly compatible and integrated with individual aspirations, can allow for subsequent interventions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. First, we briefly present the way in which skills are conceptualized and measured, then we discuss the social factors affecting the skills included in our model and, in section 4, we consider the data and procedure used in the estimation process. Finally, in section 5, we discuss the results and conclusions.

## 2. Conceptualization and measurement of skills

Many empirical studies have shown skills to be an important predictor of socioeconomic success (Bowles, Gintis, & Osborne, 2001; Cunha, Heckman, & Schennach, 2010; Cunha & Heckman, 2007; Hanushek, Schwerdt, Wiederhold, & Woessmann, 2013; Heckman, 1995); yet, the definition of skills remains a highly contentious question in the social sciences (note, that we use the term skills<sup>1</sup> in the plural, since they have been shown to be multiple in nature), though it is agreed they are intrinsically dynamic, being made up of various stages of acquisition and proficiency. It should be borne in mind that our results are based on a global assessment survey, which means our measurement of skills is only partial and is a proxy of literacy core skills at a given moment in time. The skills evaluated are taken from the theoretical framework of the PIAAC.

PIAAC is a large scale assessment that seeks to test adult skills. The antecedents of this programme were the International Adult Literacy Survey (IALS) (1994-98) and the Adult Literacy and Life Skills (ALL) Survey (2004-06); likewise, commissioned by the OECD. These programs aim to establish a benchmark of the “output” (or social outcomes) of the education and training systems by developing a comparable measure of skills performance. Global assessments of this kind are becoming more and more influential in international policy making and are an important tool in the reform of education and training systems (Grek, 2010; Meyer & Benavot, 2013). Moreover, they are rapidly establishing themselves as a tool of governance that can influence the decisions of the actors involved (Desrosières, 2002; Espeland & Sauder, 2007; Grek, 2009). In this paper, we consider PIAAC as a source of valuable information for comparative analyses but do not repeat discussions concerning the reliability of its skills measurement<sup>2</sup>, but refer the reader to the PIAAC technical report (OECD, 2013b) for a full discussion.

The foundational skills assessed in PIAAC are a core set that are assumed to be essential for an individual to function in the knowledge economy. A major advantage here is that information is gathered about the skills that are actually rewarded in the labour market. Moreover, these skills are considered to be relevant in order to build higher order skills and to facilitate the retraining of individuals. In keeping with this aim, PIAAC adopts a “competence” approach – where competence is defined as the ability to apply knowledge

---

<sup>1</sup> There has been much debate about the measurement of cognitive skills. Two decades ago, the Bell Curve (Herrnstein & Murray, 1996) generated a resurgence of this debate. Throughout this text we clearly state the measure of cognitive skills used and identify the most relevant contributions on the question of reliability.

<sup>2</sup> Further information on measurement can be found in Gebhardt & Adams, 2007; Goldstein, 2004; Svend, 2011.

and skills across environments and in interactive contexts that involve understanding, reflection and judgement (OECD, 2012) – and explores whether people are able to implement their knowledge in multiple contexts.

The skill domains assessed in PIAAC are literacy, numeracy, and problem solving in technology-rich environments. These are evaluated using 56 items distributed across three main task characteristics (medium, context and aspect) and differentiated between paper and computer-based questions. In addition, for the reading component, interviewers timed respondents and this information is part of the measure of efficiency (OECD, 2013b). As in other international educational assessments, PIAAC uses item-response techniques (IRT) to generate ten plausible values of each domain examined. The resulting scores do not allow an interpretation at an individual level, because they are not the individual results of the tests. These items have a mean of 250 and a standard deviation of 50 points and are strongly correlated, with an individual-level correlation between numeracy and literacy (problem-solving) of 0.85 (0.76).

This paper focuses specifically on literacy skills, defined as “understanding, evaluating, using and engaging with written texts to participate in society, to achieve one’s goals, and to develop one’s knowledge and potential” (Gal & Tout, 2014; OECD, 2011, 2012; Schleicher, 2008). The measure is used as a proxy of the sum of using, interpreting and understanding prose and qualitative information. However, the delimitation of a skills standard is generally questioned, since the construction of any statistical object implies the definition of a finite class of equivalences based on a given taxonomy (Desrosières, 2002, 2008; Espeland & Stevens, 1998; Grek, 2010; Lamont, 2012; Porter, 1996). For this reason, the definition of a complex, dynamic concept, such as core skills, is extremely critical and has been extensively debated (Andrew et al., 2014; Espeland & Sauder, 2007; Goldstein, 2004).

### **3. Factors influencing the acquisition of skills and a hypothetical model**

Our aim is to provide a comprehensive picture of the social factors affecting the configuration of adult skills in OECD countries. The link between educational attainment and quality of schooling has been extensively analysed, but some authors identify a misspecification of this link (Wossmann, 2003). Here, we consider the extent to which educational attainment serves as a gauge of adult skills and how their everyday use correlates with individual adult skills. Many factors affect skills acquisition and loss, and

the interaction of these factors highlights the need for a complex analytical approach. The daily use of skills and educational attainment are strongly associated with adult skills, but a range of other prior factors also strongly correlate with the former.

Family background is deemed to affect both education and social destination directly and indirectly, as the social mobility literature has demonstrated (Bukodi & Goldthorpe, 2013). Drawing on the cross-national PIAAC survey, we propose a comprehensive approach to the analysis of the way in which adult skills are configured. We examine the relationship between skills, their use in daily life and in the workplace, family background, and a range of socio-demographic covariates.

First, we examine whether the model as stated is suitable for all the OECD countries included in PIAAC. Then, we test the correspondence between education, use of skills in daily life and in the workplace and the literacy skills of the adult population, examining all the direct and indirect effects between them. Antecedents, such as family background, affect individual education positively and are strongly linked to skills use in the workplace and to literacy. In the model, we include the covariates of age, gender and being foreign born, which have relevant direct and indirect effects on the configuration of skills.

In the following sections, we introduce the factors impacting skills and briefly review previous theories forwarded in the literature regarding the variables included in the hypothetical model. We present the components that are central to our study of the configuration of skills: education, family background, use of skills in the workplace and use of skills in daily life. We also review the model's covariates of gender, age and being foreign born. Finally, we present the hypotheses together with a graphical representation of the model.

## **Education**

In the social sciences, distinct approaches have upheld the importance of education credentials as a determinant for a wide array of social outcomes, including those related to the labour market, income and social prestige. Since the development of the status attainment model (Blau & Duncan, 1967) and the Wisconsin model of education and early occupation attainment (Haller & Portes, 1973), a strong, positive association between education, social origin and individual occupation has been found (Carbonaro, 2007; Kerckhoff, 2001). Higher education attainment is also associated with higher earnings and incomes (Barone & Van de Werfhorst, 2011; Hanushek et al., 2013; Harmon, Oosterbeek,

& Walker, 2003), better health, a higher level of wellbeing, and political participation (Bedard & Ferrall, 2003; Braga, Checchi, & Meschi, 2013; Damme, 2013; Hoskins, Janmaat, Han, & Muijs, 2014; Oreopoulos & Salvanes, 2011).

Various authors have examined the mechanisms thought to underpin these relations. Functionalists (Bell, 1973; Davis & Moore, 1945) argue that the labour market needs diversified skills, which are linked to individual levels of qualification. Human capital theorists (Becker, 1964) contend that the better educated enhance their individual skills and, hence, productivity, considering education to be a proxy for unmeasured skills. On the other hand, structuralists deem critical education to be a positional good, where education and skills are valuable to the extent that they offer greater access to a higher position in the job market. This perspective is shared by signal theorists (Arrow, 1973; Spence, 1973), who assert that education acts as a filter in the hiring process and is an imperfect measure of performance ability. Hence, rewards are based both on formal qualification and productivity. Others (Thurow, 1975) point out that skills are mostly acquired in the workplace and the relation between education, skills and productivity depends more on the position in the job market than on educational attainment (labour market queue theory).

On the other hand, more radical approaches, such as that proposed by the credentialists (Collins, 1979), claim that the expansion of educational attainment does not contribute to an increase in overall competences. Rather, a higher education qualification operates as a device of macro-social motivation to justify wage discrepancies in the labour market. All these theories recognize that both education and skills constitute an advantage for individual success, but they tend to disagree upon which factor is the most important. Thus, they diverge with regard to the level of correspondence between education, skills and labour market demands.

In our analysis, we disentangle these relationships and consider skills as being the final outcome of the process and the interaction of multiple components. In this respect, skills acquisition is complex and multi-causal, passing through various stages, the process being socially and specifically determined. Additionally, the development of skills involves a continuum of gain and loss over the life cycle. In order to capture this process, we would need a panel of individuals and repeated measures of their skills over the life cycle. To our knowledge, this type of data is as yet unavailable.

### **Family background**

The importance of family origin as a determinant of educational achievement and skills

has been monitored from the 1960s and '70s (Boudon, 1973; Bourdieu & Passeron, 1964; Coleman, 1966) until the present day (Black, Devereux, & Salvanes, 2005; Chevalier, Harmon, O' Sullivan, & Walker, 2013). The accessibility and allocation of different forms of family capital directly and indirectly influence an individual's status at a later stage in their life (Blau & Duncan, 1967; Breen & Karlson, 2014; Erikson & Goldthorpe, 1992). Parental social and financial capacity and their incentives to invest in their offspring's education are prominent in this process (Jerrim & Macmillan, 2015). Parental education has an indirect effect on children's access to material and immaterial resources and their related quality within their family and this may consequently influence schooling decisions. This association has been described in the literature of social mobility as the intergenerational transmission of education.

It has proved difficult to differentiate between genetic transmission (nature) and environmental determinants (nurture) and this distinction may even be obsolete (Cunha et al., 2010; Heckman, 1995), as demonstrated from the last two decades of epigenetics research. An unexplained residual effect between parental education and skills, having controlled for education, shows the extent to which skills are related to family background. This could have both a nature and a nurture component. For this reason, we interpret this coefficient as the unaccounted (via education) impact of family origin on individual skills. Moreover, as the literature on social mobility shows, there is also an intergenerational transmission of social destination, demonstrated by the high correlation between family background and the occupational status of offspring. In our model we consider the level of skills use of the individual in the workplace as a proxy of their current job. We discuss this claim in the next section.

### **Skills use**

Practice engagement theory suggests that individuals acquire skills through participation in multiple specific practices. Related to this is the 'use it or lose it' hypothesis (Krahn & Lowe, 1998). Individuals practice their skills daily with varying frequency and intensity, which impacts on the mastery (or deterioration) of their skill set. Moreover, the extent to which an individual acquires skills is well represented by the dichotomy presented by Guile & Okumoto (2007) between generic/transferable and context-specific skills (i.e., that determined practice generally is 'situated' in a specific environment). This latter position also represents the views of seminal thinkers such as Collins and Evans (2007) and Flyvberg (2001), who argue that it is only by developing context specific 'deep' (tacit)

understanding that one can gain expertise. They also contend that much tacit knowledge is socially facilitated and is only gained through immersion in groups that already possess this knowledge (i.e., immersion with those already undertaking the role). A successful gain of tacit knowledge requires individuals effectively embedding themselves within the social group that embodies such specific expertise.

In our model, we distinguish skills practices in two separate dimensions: in the workplace and in daily life (at home). This construct is designed to measure the job related tasks reported by the interviewees, but it could be interpreted as a proxy for the use of intensive skills in the workplace. The latent variable of skills use in the workplace could be related to the individual occupation classification, although it is not based on relative measures of position and prestige in the labour market, for instance experience in the workplace, the occupation sector or the international occupation classification. The underlying hypothesis is that the actual use of skills in the workplace is a better proxy for the occupation status and prestige in the labour market. In fact, it is increasingly difficult in contemporary society to discriminate between very similar jobs. Most cross-national research adopts diverse occupational classifications, but when studying the occupation category the current job is not specifically considered. Occupation and job are not equivalent units of analysis, since the former is simply an aggregation of the latter (Baron & Bielby, 1980). In addition, each occupation category is intrinsically highly heterogeneous, much more so than most researchers have hitherto assumed.

For these reasons, in our model, we draw on the actual use of skills in the workplace rather than the individual occupation characteristics. Making use of these proxies enhances cross country comparability. Furthermore, the polychoric correlation between the latent constructs of skills use in the workplace and the international classification occupation (ISCO) was above 0.8 in all the countries examined.

## **Gender**

Various studies show marked gender differences in performance in mathematics and reading. Analyses of global international assessments (i.e., PISA) show that boys outperform girls in mathematics, while girls do better at reading. The evidence, however, is mixed and these differences are inversely correlated across the four waves of PISA between and within countries (Stoet & Geary, 2015). Theoretical explanations relate these gaps to biological and socio-cultural causes, but research indicates the difficulty in isolating the two and reaffirms that both are important. In PIAAC, men outperform women

in all three domains, that is, literacy, numeracy and problem solving, but after controlling for age and diverse social characteristics, these gaps seem likely to disappear. Furthermore, we should recognise that gender diversity in cognitive and personality traits affects the acquisition and loss of key skills, yet, these sources of difference lie beyond the scope of this paper. Gender might, on the other hand, be associated with unequal access to the labour market. After taking this difference into account, gender seems likely to have a non-significant impact on foundational skills. Moreover, a residual impact of gender on education reflects unequal access to opportunities in education, which is partially related to a historical unequal access to schooling between the genders.

### **Age**

The evidence indicates that foundational skills tend to be lower among older cohorts. This pattern is consistent across countries if we examine ALL and IALS (Desjardins & Warnke, 2012) results. Younger adults (aged 26-35) have higher skills in the three domains evaluated in PIAAC (Rammstedt, Ackermann, Helmschrott, Klaukien, & Maehler, 2012). These results have both a biological explanation, related to ageing, and an explanation related to the asymmetrical access to education. Typically, in OECD countries, younger cohorts are exposed to longer periods in the education system than their older counterparts. It is likely that the greater amount of education received by younger cohorts has a more positive impact on their skills level than the corresponding impact on the levels of the older cohorts (Calero, Murillo Huertas, & Raymond Bara, 2016).

A process of skills loss might result from the lack of training of a determined set of skills taught in the education system. In PIAAC, adults aged between 25 and 30 present an advantage of almost half a standard deviation in their skills scores, compared to those aged between 16 and 20. At the age of 45, skills deteriorate radically across all OECD countries. Since we are comparing multiple cohorts over a period of more than 30 years, we should take into consideration the heterogeneity of family backgrounds and the fact that younger people might have a richer family background. In fact, most of the countries participating in PIAAC have increased their overall level of educational attainment (education expansion) and this is most marked for the individuals interviewed and their parents.

### **Foreign born**

The gulf in the educational outcomes of foreign born and the native population has been well documented. Many studies rely on explanations at the individual level that focus, above all, on the ascribed characteristics of cultural positions or the structural features of

immigrant environments (Marks, 2005). An examination of cross-national studies suggests that the gap might also reflect macro-institutional factors, such as education systems and migration policies (Dronkers & Heus, 2013; Dronkers & Velden, 2013). These authors show that, in traditional immigration destinations, immigrant and native educational performances are similar. They also link these findings with the idea of a reduced social distance.

When examining adult skills, there are two main sources of disparity. One concerns the discrepancy within each country's education system and the difference in skills of foreigners and natives entering the labour market. The second factor is that the foreign born population is, in general, likely to have a poorer family background than that of natives.

### **Model hypotheses and graphical representation**

Figure 1 is a graphical representation of the proposed model. Above, we have presented existing theories regarding the relationship between the variables included in the model. We expect parental education to have a positive effect on offspring education (intergenerational transmission of education). Besides, an unexplained residual effect between parental education and skills demonstrates the extent to which skills are related to family background. Education has a positive and direct effect on literacy and on the practice of skills both in the home and in the workplace. In addition to this direct effect, a relevant mediating effect of these dimensions might have an impact on skills. Both skills practices have a positive effect on foundational skills. We control for different covariates and we expect a direct and negative effect of age on literacy.

<<Insert Figure 1 about here>>

## **4. Data and methods**

The study is based on the first wave of PIAAC, released in October 2013 and updated in March 2015. The data are made available on the OECD webpage and were retrieved in April 2015. PIAAC provides direct measures of skills together with rich information on the individual social environment for adults aged between 16 and 65 in 24 countries, mostly OECD members.

For the purposes of this analysis, we limited the sample to the population aged

between 25 and 55, who reported having an occupation when the survey was conducted. Those aged between 16 and 25 were excluded, because of the likelihood of their still being in higher education. Additionally, those aged 56 and over were omitted, because of their being close to the age of retirement (depending on country legislation) and the likelihood of their having missing data on skills use in the workplace. We restricted the sample, therefore, to workers aged between 25 and 55, in order to have the best estimate of the skills structure of the active population. A robustness analysis confirmed the relevance of this sample restriction. Australia and Cyprus were excluded from the analysis owing to the public unavailability of data, and Russia for reasons of data quality and the absence of certain crucial variables used in the model. The final analytic sample consisted of 70,712 individuals who fulfilled the following criteria: workers aged 25 to 55, with full availability of data and good data quality.

Our ultimate goal was to disentangle the impact of various social factors and to estimate the extent to which each of them contributes to the development of core literacy skills, while at the same time accounting for interacting effects. The empirical foundation of the model was based on the seminal paper by Desjardins (2003). The central part of the model was also based on the social mobility literature and the widely used social origin-education attainment-social destination triangle (Breen & Karlson, 2014; Breen, Luijkx, Müller, & Pollak, 2009; E. Bukodi & Goldthorpe, 2012; Kuha & Goldthorpe, 2007). The main idea underpinning the model is that educational attainment mediates between family background and future social outcomes.

### **Selection of variables**

The four components of skills acquisition were measured as follows: family background using the father's highest level of educational attainment; education using two items; and the practice of skills in the workplace and in the home using four items. Below, we outline some of the decisions taken when selecting the variables to be used in the modelling process.

In line with much of the cross-national research literature, a collapsed three-category scheme of father's education was used for the latent construct of the respondent's family background (descriptive statistics are presented in **Table 2**). In general, parental education is deemed a good proxy for family background and social status. Scholars have also adopted other proxies of family background, including parental occupation or income. One example currently used in PISA is economic, social and cultural status (ESCS), which

is a composite indicator derived from the International Socio-Economic Index (ISEI), a widely accepted classification of occupational status (Ganzeboom, de Graaf, & Treiman, 1992), parental years of schooling and three family resource indexes.

In PIAAC, as in most cross-national studies, there is no information about family income or parental occupation. The survey compiles information on the highest level of educational attainment of both parents and the number of books in the home when the respondent was 15 years old. Yet, as some authors claim, parental education might be preferable to other proxies of family background, because it captures a wide range of family inputs (Bukodi & Goldthorpe, 2012).

The latent education variable was constructed using two items: one provides information about the number of years of education (quantity) and the other records the time elapsed since achieving the highest formal qualification; thus, suggesting the obsolescence of the former. The time passed since the attainment of the highest level of education points to the obsolescence of educational curricula and their related skills sets. Years of schooling are directly converted from the information reported on the highest qualification attained. In PIAAC, each country performs its conversion into imputed years of schooling. Since the focus is on the degree to which education affects skills and their use, we tend to maximize this effect, by handling continuous variables. Hence, we use the imputed years of education on a continuous scale. As Germany does not report information on the imputed years of education for its respondents, for this country, we applied a recodification on the basis of the ISCED level of individual qualification, as provided by the OECD Directorate of Education. For missing data in the case of the obsolescence of a qualification, we bypassed this lack of information by employing the multiple imputation technique, using the discrete variable of age and the covariates included in our model.

The latent variable of skills use in the workplace was based on four items: three record information about frequency of use of core skills (such as writing, numeracy and reading) and the fourth item captures the influence of these skills on the respondent's co-workers. The latent variable of skills use in daily life was constructed using records of skills use in the household. We included numeracy, reading, writing and the use of information technology. The items used to construct the latent factor are shown in **Table 1**. Many studies do not draw a distinction between the spheres of skills practice, basically because of their high correlation (OECD, 2000). In our model, however, we opted to separate these two spheres of acquisition. The intensity of skills use was coded on the Likert scale and then log transformed.

Finally, the latent construct of literacy comprises the ten plausible values of literacy<sup>3</sup> generated through IRT as described in **Section 2**.

We also controlled for age, for being born outside the test country and for gender. **Figure 1** presents a path diagram of the model. A detailed list of the manifest and latent variables included in the model is given in **Table 1** together with the Greek notation and the item description.

<<Insert Table 1 about here>>

### **The modelling process**

To test the hypothesized relationships between the constructs and to evaluate the theoretical model, we used a structural equation model (SEM). This is a broadly flexible set of statistical techniques which allows the representation of the constructs of interest and the measurement of the extent to which the data are consistent with a proposed theoretical model. The advantages of SEM over conventional regression analysis techniques are basically four. SEM allows us to describe the directed dependence of a set of observed and unobserved (or latent) variables. Second, latent variables are also more reliable than observed variables, as measurement error is accounted for and they permit the combination of almost any kind of item independently of the type of measurement. Third, SEM allows the researcher to include multiple dependent variables and to use them in the interpretation of the model. Four, multiple goodness-of-fit measures are produced which allow us to evaluate just how well the model fits the data.

For the overall process of estimation, having explored the data, we first performed a test of multivariate normality using the MvTest package. Similarly, we estimated stepwise regression models in STATA 13.1 (StataCorp, 2015). The variables' descriptive statistics are shown in **Table 1A** in the appendix. Therefore, following the standard procedure in the SEM literature, our two-step modelling process included i) a measurement model, describing the way observed variables load onto latent constructs, and ii) a structural model, which estimates the pathways among all the variables, including the latent constructs (Byrne, 2012; Kline, 2016). A confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was performed to check for the consistency of each latent variable (measurement model). The factor loading of each unobserved latent variable is given in **Table 2**.

---

<sup>3</sup> Treating the ten plausible scores as items of a latent variable is equivalent to estimating ten models separately and taking the mean of the parameters.

<<Insert Table 2 about here>>

We tested whether the items that constitute family background, education, use of skills at home and in the workplace load onto their respective latent constructs, and a full SEM was estimated to test the relationships between the latent variables and covariates. Throughout the process, we rescaled sample variance values of the continuous variables, as some exceeded the 1-to-10 range and this can generate convergence problems, especially with models that combine discrete and continuous outcomes.

The estimator selected was robust weighted least squares (WLSMV), which is a modification of the weighted least squares (WLS) estimator, created to deal especially with a combination of ordinal, discrete and continuous data and a small to medium sample size. All the SEM estimations were conducted using Mplus 7.4 (Muthén & Muthén, 2015). We then scrutinized the modification indices and performed J-Rule using Jrule for Mplus version 0.91 beta<sup>4</sup> (Oberski 2009) which implements the method described in Saris, Satorra, & van der Veld (2009). Thus, we aimed to determine whether the factor structure of our model was invariant across the OECD countries<sup>5</sup>. We performed sensitivity tests including missing data and recoding the zero category of manifest indicators in the latent constructs of use of skills into missing data. Bootstrap estimation was performed using 2,000 iterations, yielding the same results as the WLSMV estimation<sup>6</sup>.

## 5. Results

Good model fits were obtained for the pooled OECD countries ( $\chi^2 = 3236.908$ ,  $df = 233$ ,  $p < .01$ ,  $\chi^2/df = 13.892$ , comparative fit index (CFI) = .977, Tucker-Lewis index (TLI) = .973, root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) = .014). We report all the goodness-of-fit measures in the note to **Table 3**. When the model was considered separately for each country, the fit indexes were consistent across all countries, with respect to the standard CFI and TLI thresholds. The RMSEA was also below .05, pointing

---

<sup>4</sup> This software program was developed by Daniel Oberski and can be consulted at his personal webpage [https://zenodo.org/record/10657#.VpNwE\\_nhBD8](https://zenodo.org/record/10657#.VpNwE_nhBD8)

<sup>5</sup> A test of measurement invariance (CFA) was performed for each country to check whether the OECD countries in PIAAC could be treated as a single group of countries. We performed this analysis twice, first with listwise deletion (thus we excluded Austria, Canada, Germany and the United States) and then we used all the observations available using the multiple imputation technique to handle missing information. The results of this analysis are reported in the first paragraph of section 6.

<sup>6</sup> Sensitivity tests were performed by estimating the model with the exclusion of missing data. The results of both models present the same coefficient signs and sizes. The sensitivity analyses are available upon request from the authors.

to the plausibility of the model. In conclusion, we can reject the null hypothesis of a divergent structure of configuration of skills across OECD countries. It is important to stress that when interpreting these findings, we need to bear in mind that they refer to all countries participating in the PIAAC study.

The parameter estimates and the indirect effects, which have been standardized to facilitate comparisons, are reported in **Table 3**. Each structural parameter should be interpreted as a percentage change in the standard deviation of the dependent factor for a single change in the standard deviation of the independent factor under consideration, e.g., .2 should be interpreted as a 20% change. The results point to a strong impact of parental education on the individual's level of education, providing strong empirical evidence of the intergenerational transmission of education (standardized coefficient .773  $p < .01$ ). Moreover, family background has a residual impact on skills (standardized coefficient .273  $p < .01$ ), which is mediated by the model's other latent variables. This illustrates the extent to which family environment directly and indirectly affects skills in the long term, even after taking into account the individual education achieved and the other controls.

In addition, a small indirect impact of family background via education affects the use of skills at work and, hence, the individual's occupation (standardized coefficient .048  $p < .01$ ). These results support the view that inequality in education and literacy is closely related to the resource inequality that exists between families of different social backgrounds. Age also has a negative impact on the individual's family background (standardized coefficient -.243  $p < .01$ ) and an effect on education (standardized coefficient .089  $p < .01$ ). Via family background, age affects education. In fact, younger individuals experienced a richer family environment, which has a positive effect in terms of educational achievement. This finding is in line with the belief that the increased access of younger age groups to education partially reduces the transmission of inequality in education.

The link between education and literacy was positive and significant (standardized coefficient .185  $p < .01$ ). In addition, it has both a very strong impact on skills use in daily life (standardized coefficient .562  $p < .01$ ) and on skills use in the workplace (standardized coefficient .553  $p < .01$ ). Education had a mediating effect on skills use in the workplace (standardized coefficient 0.06  $p < 0.01$ ), and a slightly higher effect on those in daily life (standardized coefficient .066  $p < .01$ ). These results show that education is more relevant in explaining differentials in the use of skills in daily life and that these have an additive

effect on literacy.

The practice of skills in the workplace and at home are very strongly correlated to each other (standardized coefficient .505  $p < .01$ ) and have a positive impact on literacy. This finding is in line with the literature, since both latent variables reflect the individual's daily life practices, albeit differentiating them by place of use. Home practice had a stronger impact on literacy (standardized coefficient .117  $p < .01$ ), which is similar to the impact of the use of skills in the workplace (standardized coefficient .108  $p < .01$ ).

Women tend to use fewer skills in the workplace than men, but this difference was non-significant for the daily use of skills. Women are likely to have an advantage (standardized coefficient .072  $p < .01$ ) in terms of education, but this is not reflected by skills use in the labour market (standardized coefficient -.015  $p < .01$ ). In addition, being foreign born has a negative effect on literacy (standardized coefficient -.226  $p < .01$ ) and on the use of skills in the labour market (standardized coefficient -.103  $p < .01$ ). Women and foreigners are likely to be employed in less skill-intensive occupations, performing jobs whose demands are below those of their actual level of qualification. The indirect effects of gender and being foreign born on education are significant, but have a moderate impact. These results demonstrate the unequal access to the labour market of women and foreign born individuals in the OECD area.

<<Insert Table 3 about here>>

To sum up, education has an important mediating role in the configuration of adult skills. As a general predictor of these skills, it affects literacy directly, and it strongly influences the practice of skills. Furthermore, structural elements, such as family background, play an important role in shaping education, skills use in the workplace and, hence, labour market status. Moreover, a relevant residual influence of family background is associated with adult skills, illustrating how unequal access among families to resources has a strong impact at later stages in life, having a marked impact on education and skill outcomes.

## **6. Conclusion**

The mastery of certain key skills is deemed essential for social inclusion and participation in a constantly changing, knowledge-based economy. Skills are socially acquired in a wide variety of ways and the work of the individual is not simply another production factor, rather the ability to perform a job is conceived and constructed socially. A plurality of factors affects the acquisition and loss of skills and the interaction of these factors highlights the need for a complex analytical approach. Our paper has sought to recognise the importance of the interrelation of this array of factors in the configuration of adult skills in OECD countries. It has developed a hypothetical model of the acquisition of adult skills and accounted for the complex relationship between diverse constructs. Yet, this study has not considered the particularities of cross-country differences in adult skill levels and their dispersion; hence, caution should be exercised when interpreting the implications of these results as they do not provide specific evidence for individual countries in the PIAAC study.

However, our findings support the argument that there are structural elements of common configuration underpinning the acquisition of adult skills among the OECD members. Our results indicate that family background, age and education intervene strongly in the development of these skills. The importance of family background is persistent in the configuration of skills and the factor has both a direct and relevant influence on education and literacy, its impact being manifest in the shaping of social outcomes during later stages of life. The primary source of inequality in educational opportunities lies in the unequal access to a range of resources that exists between families of different backgrounds. As a general predictor of adult skills, education affects literacy directly and it strongly influences skills use. Education likewise plays an important role in mediating the effects of family origin on an individual's career and literacy. Even after controlling for all the other variables in the model, the use of skills at home and in the workplace still have notable effects on literacy. The evidence we report, therefore, supports policies aimed at developing high quality, life-long learning programs that can promote the capacity for individual growth and experimentation.

## 7. References

- Andrew, P. et al. (2014). OECD and Pisa tests are damaging education worldwide. *The Guardian*. London. Retrieved from <http://www.theguardian.com/education/2014/may/06/oecd-pisa-tests-damaging-education-academics>
- Arrow, K. J. (1973). Higher education as a filter. *Journal of Public Economics*, 2(3), 193–216. [http://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2727\(73\)90013-3](http://doi.org/10.1016/0047-2727(73)90013-3)
- Baron, J. N., & Bielby, W. T. (1980). Bringing the Firms Back in: Stratification, Segmentation, and the Organization of Work. *American Sociological Review*, 45(5), 737–765.
- Barone, C., & Van de Werfhorst, H. G. (2011). Education, cognitive skills and earnings in comparative perspective. *International Sociology*, 26(4), 483–502. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0268580910393045>
- Becker, G. (1964). *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education*. Chicago: University of Chicago.
- Bedard, K., & Ferrall, C. (2003). Wage and test score dispersion: some international evidence. *Economics of Education Review*, 22(1), 31–43. Retrieved from <http://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/ecoedu/v22y2003i1p31-43.html>
- Bell, D. (1973). *The Coming of Post-Industrial Society: A Venture in Social Forecasting*. (B. Books, Ed.) (1999 ed.). New York.
- Black, S. E., Devereux, P. J., & Salvanes, K. G. (2005). Why the apple doesn't fall far: understanding intergenerational transmission of human capital. *The American Economic Review*, 95(1), 437–449.
- Blau, P. M., & Duncan, O. D. (1967). *The American occupational structure*. New York: Wiley Press.
- Boudon, R. (1973). *L'inégalité des chances, La mobilité sociale dans les sociétés industrielles*. Paris: Colin.
- Bourdieu, P., & Passeron, J.-C. (1964). *Les héritiers*. Paris: Minuit.
- Bowles, S., Gintis, H., & Osborne, M. (2001). The Determinants of Earnings : A Behavioral Approach. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 39, 1137–76.
- Braga, M., Checchi, D., & Meschi, E. (2013). Educational policies in a long-run perspective. *Economic Policy*, 28(73), 45–100. <http://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0327.12002>
- Breen, R., & Karlson, K. B. (2014). Education and Social Mobility: New Analytical Approaches. *European Sociological Review*, 30(1), 107–118. <http://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jct025>
- Breen, R., Luijkx, R., Müller, W., & Pollak, R. (2009). Nonpersistent inequality in educational attainment: evidence from eight European countries. *American Journal of Sociology*, 114, 1475–1521. <http://doi.org/10.1086/595951>
- Brown, P., Lauder, H., & Ashton, D. (2008). Education, globalisation and the future of the knowledge economy, 7(2), 131–156. <http://doi.org/10.2304/eeerj.2008.7.2.131>
- Brown, P., Lauder, H., & Ashton, D. (2011). *The Global Auction: The Broken Promises of Education, Jobs, and Incomes*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <http://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199731688.001.0001>
- Bukodi, E., & Goldthorpe, J. H. (2012). Decomposing “Social Origins”: The Effects of Parents' Class, Status, and Education on the Educational Attainment of Their Children. *European Sociological Review*, 29(5), 1024–1039. <http://doi.org/10.1093/esr/jcs079>


- Byrne, B. M. (2012). *Structural equation modeling with MPLUS. Basic concepts, applications and programming*. New York, London: Routledge.
- Calero, J., Murillo Huertas, I., & Raymond Bara, J. L. (2016). *Education, age and skills: an analysis using the PIAAC survey* (Human Capital No. 3). Barcelona.
- Carbonaro, W. (2007). The effects of education and cognitive skill on earnings: How much do occupations and jobs matter? *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility*, 25(1), 57–71. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rssm.2006.10.005>
- Chevalier, A., Harmon, C., O' Sullivan, V., & Walker, I. (2013). The impact of parental income and education on the schooling of their children. *IZA Journal of Labor Economics*, 2(1), 8. <http://doi.org/10.1186/2193-8997-2-8>
- Coleman, J. (1966). Equality of Educational Opportunity. Retrieved December 23, 2015, from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED012275.pdf>
- Collins, H., & Evans, R. (2007). *Rethinking Expertise*. (T. U. of C. Press, Ed.). Chicago. <http://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Collins, R. (1979). *The Credential Society: An Historical Sociology of Education and Stratification*. New York: Academic Press.
- Cunha, F., & Heckman, J. (2007). The Technology of Skill Formation. *NBER Working Paper No. 12840*, (October 2003).
- Cunha, F., Heckman, J., & Schennach, S. (2010). Estimating the Technology of Cognitive and Noncognitive Skill Formation. *NBER Working Papers*. Retrieved from <http://ideas.repec.org/p/nbr/nberwo/15664.html>
- Damme, D. Van. (2013). How closely is the distribution of skills related to countries' overall level of social inequality and economic prosperity?, (105), 1–16.
- Davis, K., & Moore, W. E. (1945). Some Principles of Stratification. *American Sociological Review*, 10(2), 242–249.
- Desjardins, R. (2003). Determinants of literacy proficiency: A lifelong-lifewide learning perspective. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 39, 205–245. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2004.04.004>
- Desjardins, R., & Warnke, A. J. (2012). *Ageing and skills. A Review and analysis of skill gain and skill loss over the lifespan and over time*.
- Desrosières, A. (2002). *The Politics of Large Numbers*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Desrosières, A. (2008). La statistique, outil de gouvernement et outil de preuve. *L'argument Statistique I: Pour Une Sociologie Historique de La Quantification*, 7–20. Retrieved from [http://www.meshs.fr/documents/pdf/seminaires/2009/desrosieres\\_1\\_09.pdf](http://www.meshs.fr/documents/pdf/seminaires/2009/desrosieres_1_09.pdf)
- Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and Education: an introduction to the philosophy of education*. New York: Macmillan. <http://doi.org/10.1086/591813>
- Dronkers, J., & Heus, M. de. (2013). Immigrants' children scientific performance in a double comparative design: the influence of origin, destination, and community. In *PISA, Power, and Policy: the emergence of global educational governance*, edited by H.-D. Meyer & A. Benevot. Oxford: Symposium Books. (pp. 247–265). Retrieved from <https://ideas.repec.org/p/crm/wpaper/1213.html>
- Dronkers, J., & Velden, R. Van Der. (2013). Integration and Inequality in Educational Institutions, 71–98. <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-6119-3>
- Drucker, P. (1993). *Post-Capitalist Society*. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Erikson, R., & Goldthorpe, J. H. (1992). *The constant flux: a study of class mobility in industrial societies*. London: Clarendon Press.
- Espeland, W. N., & Stevens, M. L. (1998). Commensuration.pdf. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24, 313–343.

- Espeland, W., & Sauder, M. (2007). Rankings and Reactivity. *American Journal of Sociology*.
- EU high level group of experts on literacy. (2012). *Act now!* Luxembourg. Retrieved from [http://ec.europa.eu/education/policy/school/doc/literacy-report\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/education/policy/school/doc/literacy-report_en.pdf)
- Flyvberg, B. (2001). *Making Social Science Matter. Why social inquiry fails and how it can succeed again*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
<http://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Gal, I., & Tout, D. (2014). *Comparison of PIAAC and PISA Frameworks for Numeracy and Mathematical Literacy*. Paris.
- Ganzeboom, H. B. G., de Graaf, P. M., & Treiman, D. J. (1992). A standard international socio-economic index of occupational status. *Social Science Research*, 21, 1–56.
- Gebhardt, E., & Adams, R. J. (2007). The influence of equating methodology on reported trends in PISA. *Journal of Applied Measurement*, 8(3), 305–322.
- Goldstein, H. (2004). International comparisons of student attainment: some issues arising from the PISA study. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 11(3), 319–330. <http://doi.org/10.1080/0969594042000304618>
- Grek, S. (2009). Governing by numbers: the PISA “effect” in Europe. *Journal of Education Policy*, 24(September 2014), 23–37.  
<http://doi.org/10.1080/02680930802412669>
- Grek, S. (2010). International Organisations and the Shared Construction of Policy “Problems”: problematisation and change in education governance in Europe. *European Educational Research Journal*, 9(3), 396.  
<http://doi.org/10.2304/eej.2010.9.3.396>
- Guile, D., & Okumoto, K. (2007). “We are trying to reproduce a crafts apprenticeship”: from Government Blueprint to workplace generated apprenticeship in the knowledge economy. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 59(4), 551–574.  
<http://doi.org/10.1080/13636820701651016>
- Haller, A. O., & Portes, A. (1973). Status Attainment Processes. *Sociology of Education*, 46(1), 51–91.
- Hanushek, E. A., & Kimko, D. (2000). Schooling, labor-force quality, and the growth of nations. *American Economic Review*, 90(5), 1184–1208.  
<http://doi.org/10.1257/aer.90.5.1184>
- Hanushek, E. A., Schwerdt, G., Wiederhold, S., & Woessmann, L. (2013). Returns to skills around the world: Evidence from PIAAC. *NBER, Working Pa.*
- Hanushek, E. A., & Wößmann, L. (2008). The Role of Cognitive Skills in Economic Development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 46(3), 607–668.  
<http://doi.org/10.1257/jel.46.3.607>
- Harmon, C., Oosterbeek, H., & Walker, I. (2003). The Returns to Education: Microeconomics. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 17(2), 115–156.  
<http://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6419.00191>
- Heckman, J. J. (1995). Lessons from the Bell Curve. *Journal of Political Economy*, 103(5), 1091. <http://doi.org/10.1086/262014>
- Herrnstein, R., & Murray, C. (1996). *Bell Curve: Intelligence and Class Structure in American Life*. New York: Free Press.
- Hoskins, B., Janmaat, J. G., Han, C., & Muijs, D. (2014). Inequalities in the education system and the reproduction of socioeconomic disparities in voting in England, Denmark and Germany: the influence of country context, tracking and self-efficacy on voting intentions of students age 16–18. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, (June), 1–24. <http://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2014.912796>
- ILO. (2011). *A Skilled Workforce for Strong, Sustainable and Balanced Growth: A G20*

- Training Strategy. Education And Training.* Geneva.
- Jerrim, J., & Macmillan, L. (2015). Income Inequality, Intergenerational Mobility, and the Great Gatsby Curve: Is Education the Key? *Social Forces*, 00(00), 1–29. <http://doi.org/10.1093/sf/sov075>
- Kerckhoff, A. C. (2001). Education and Social Stratification Processes in Comparative Perspective. *Sociology of Education*, 74, 3–18. <http://doi.org/10.2307/2673250>
- Kline, R. B. (2016). *Principles and practices of structural equation modelling. Methodology in the Social Sciences* (4th ed.). New York - London: The Guilford Press.
- Krahn, H., & Lowe, G. S. (1998). *Literacy utilization in Canadian workplaces. International Adult Literacy Survey monograph series.* Ottawa. Retrieved from [http://www.grahamlowe.ca/documents/64/literacy utilization in workplaces.pdf](http://www.grahamlowe.ca/documents/64/literacy%20utilization%20in%20workplaces.pdf)
- Kuha, J., & Goldthorpe, J. H. (2007). Path analysis for discrete variables : Education as mediator of social mobility in Britain, (144), 1–37.
- Lamont, M. (2012). Toward a Comparative Sociology of Valuation and Evaluation. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 38, 201–221. <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-070308-120022>
- Marks, G. N. (2005). Accounting for immigrant non-immigrant differences in reading and mathematics in twenty countries. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 28(March 2015), 925–946. <http://doi.org/10.1080/01419870500158943>
- Meyer, H.-D., & Benavot, A. (2013). *PISA, Power, and Policy: the emergence of global educational governance.* Oxford: Symposium Books.
- Muthén, B. O., & Muthén, L. K. (2015). MPLUS 7.4. Los Angeles.
- OECD. (2000). Literacy in the information age. Retrieved December 22, 2015, from <http://www.oecd.org/edu/skills-beyond-school/41529765.pdf>
- OECD. (2011). *PIAAC Conceptual Framework of the Background Questionnaire Main Survey Table of Contents.* Paris.
- OECD. (2012). *Literacy, Numeracy and Problem Solving in Technology-Rich Environments: Framework for the OECD Survey of Adult Skills.* <http://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264128859-en>
- OECD. (2013a). *Better Skills Better Jobs Better Lives.* Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2013b). *Technical Report of the Survey of Adult Skills (PIAAC).* Paris.
- Oreopoulos, P., & Salvanes, K. G. (2011). Priceless: The Nonpecuniary Benefits of Schooling. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 25(1), 159–184. <http://doi.org/10.1257/jep.25.1.159>
- Porter, T. M. (1996). *Trust in numbers: The Pursuit of Objectivity in Science and Public Life.* New York, London: Princeton University Press.
- Rammstedt, B., Ackermann, D., Helmschrott, S., Klaukien, A., & Maehler, D. B. (2012). PIAAC 2012 : Overview of the Main Results.
- Reich, R. B. (1992). *The Work of Nations: Preparing Ourselves for 21st Century Capitalism.* Vintage.
- Saris, W. E., Satorra, A., & van der Veld, W. M. (2009). Testing structural equation models or detection of misspecifications? *Structural Equation Modeling. Vol 16(4), 16(October)*, 561–582. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10705510903203433>
- Schleicher, A. (2008). PIAAC: a new strategy for assessing adult competencies. *International Review of Education*, 54, 627–650.
- Spence, M. (1973). Job Market Signaling. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 87(3), 355–374. <http://doi.org/10.2307/1882010>
- StataCorp. (2015). STATA 13.1. Texas.
- Stoet, G., & Geary, D. C. (2015). Sex differences in academic achievement are not related

- to political, economic, or social equality. *Intelligence*, 48, 137–151.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2014.11.006>
- Svend, K. (2011). *Is the foundation under PISA solid? A critical look at the scaling model underlying international comparisons of student attainment*. Copenhagen.
- Thurow, L. C. (1975). *Generating inequality*. New York: McMillan Press.
- Wossmann, L. (2003). Specifying Human Capital. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 17(3), 239–270. <http://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6419.00195>

**Figure 1. Path diagram, visual representation of the model**



\*Circles represent the latent variables and rectangles the observed variables.

**Table 1. Latent and observed variables used in the model**

Latent variables			Observed variables		
Symbol	Label	Abbreviation	Symbol	Description	Type
$\xi_1$		Gender	x1	gender	dichotomous
$\xi_2$		Age	x2	age	ordinal
$\xi_3$		Bor_born	x3	born in country	dichotomous
$\eta_1$	Family background	F1	y1	Father Higher Education	ordinal
$\eta_2$	Education	F2	y4	Highest Level Of Education	continuous
			y5	Time elapsed since achievement of hi qual.	ordinal
$\eta_3$	Use of skills in the workplace	F3	y6	Use Of Reading Skills At Work	ordinal
			y7	Use Of Numeracy Skills At Work	ordinal
			y8	Use Of Writing Skills At Work	ordinal
			y9	Use Of Influencing Skills At Work	ordinal
$\eta_4$	Use of skills at home	F4	y10	Use Of Reading Skills At Home	ordinal
			y11	Use Of Numeracy Skills At Home	ordinal
			y12	Use Of Writing Skills At Home	ordinal
			y13	Use Of ICT Skills At Home	ordinal
$\eta_5$	Literacy proficiency	F5	y14	Plausible value Literacy pvlit1	continuous
			y15	Plausible value Literacy pvlit2	continuous
			y16	Plausible value Literacy pvlit3	continuous
			y17	Plausible value Literacy pvlit4	continuous
			y18	Plausible value Literacy pvlit5	continuous
			y19	Plausible value Literacy pvlit6	continuous
			y20	Plausible value Literacy pvlit7	continuous
			y21	Plausible value Literacy pvlit8	continuous
			y22	Plausible value Literacy pvlit9	continuous
			y23	Plausible value Literacy pvlit10	continuous

**Table 2. Measurement model**

	Estimate	S.E.	Est./S.E.	P-Value
<i>Measurement model</i>				
F1 ← FATED	0.718	0.001	594.841	0.000
F2 ← YRSQUAL	0.954	0.005	174.736	0.000
FIN_SCH	0.723	0.006	127.718	0.000
F3 ← READH_C	0.841	0.005	160.310	0.000
NUMH_C	0.694	0.006	107.980	0.000
WRITH_C	0.786	0.006	138.189	0.000
ICTH_C	0.704	0.006	108.898	0.000
F4 ← READW_C	0.875	0.005	182.438	0.000
NUMW_C	0.715	0.007	106.496	0.000
WRITW_C	0.778	0.005	142.473	0.000
INFLW_C	0.659	0.007	93.533	0.000
F5 ← PVLIT1	0.941	0.001	666.195	0.000
PVLIT2	0.940	0.001	656.937	0.000
PVLIT3	0.939	0.001	650.379	0.000
PVLIT4	0.942	0.001	687.337	0.000
PVLIT5	0.940	0.001	670.475	0.000
PVLIT6	0.938	0.001	637.149	0.000
PVLIT7	0.940	0.001	646.784	0.000
PVLIT8	0.941	0.001	673.226	0.000
PVLIT9	0.943	0.001	701.093	0.000
PVLIT10	0.939	0.002	609.771	0.000

Source: PIAAC 2013, Authors' calculations.

**Table 3. Model results**

	Estimate	S.E.	Est./S.E.	P-Value
<i>Structural parameters</i>				
F1→F5	0.273	0.027	10.108	0.000
F4→F5	0.108	0.014	7.896	0.000
F2→F5	0.185	0.025	7.390	0.000
F3→F5	0.117	0.013	9.163	0.000
<u>F1→F2</u>	<u>0.773</u>	0.014	56.944	0.000
<u>F2→F4</u>	0.553	0.020	27.240	0.000
F1→F4	<u>0.048</u>	0.023	2.072	<u>0.038</u>
F2→F3	<u>0.562</u>	0.008	71.607	0.000
AGE→F1	-0.243	0.013	-18.621	0.000
<u>FOR_BORN→F1</u>	-0.068	0.014	-4.888	0.000
Age→F5	-0.031	0.009	-3.558	0.000
<u>Gender→F5</u>	-0.010	0.008	-1.279	0.201
For_Born→F5	-0.226	0.006	-37.127	0.000
AGE→F2	<u>0.089</u>	0.013	7.088	0.000
<u>For_Born→F2</u>	-0.002	0.011	-0.199	0.842
Gender→F2	0.072	0.010	7.520	0.000
Gender→F4	-0.130	0.008	-15.643	0.000
FOR_BORN→F4	-0.103	0.007	-14.740	0.000
Gender→F3	-0.015	0.009	-1.684	0.092
F3 ↔ F4	0.505	0.010	49.997	0.000
<i>Indirect effects</i>				
Gender→F5	-0.002	0.003	-0.696	0.486
GENDER→F3→F5	-0.002	0.001	-1.658	0.097
GENDER→F4→F5	-0.014	0.002	-6.872	0.000
GENDER→F2→F5	0.013	0.003	5.236	0.000
F2→F5	0.125	0.007	17.326	0.000
F2→F3→F5	0.066	0.007	9.084	0.000
F2→F4→F5	0.060	0.008	7.194	0.000
F1→F5	0.246	0.017	14.360	0.000
F1→F2→F3→F5	0.051	0.006	8.915	0.000
F1→F2→F5	0.143	0.019	7.634	0.000
F1→F2→F4→F5	0.046	0.006	7.149	0.000
F1→F4→F5	<u>0.005</u>	0.002	2.134	0.033
AGE→F5	-0.018	0.003	-5.630	0.000
AGE→F1→F2→F5	-0.035	0.005	-6.808	0.000
AGE→F2→F5	0.017	0.003	5.194	0.000
FOR_BORN→F5	<u>-0.021</u>	0.003	-7.501	0.000
FOR_BORN→F1→F2→F5	-0.010	0.002	-4.149	0.000
FOR_BORN→F2→F5	0.000	0.002	-0.199	0.842
FOR_BORN→F4→F5	-0.011	0.002	-6.921	0.000

Model fit information:  $\chi^2=3236.908$ ,  $\chi^2/df=13.892$ ,  $n=70712$ ,  $RMSEA=.014$ ,  $CFI=.977$ ,  $TLI=.973$ ,  $WRMR=2.296$

\*Reference category of gender (female) and for\_born (native).

\*\*→ indicates causal effects; ↔ covariance; and ← indicates observed item used to construct latent variable.

\*\*\*All the parameters correspond at 5 decimals points to bootstrap and replicate weights estimation. The results of the model with listwise deletion method are available upon request to the authors.

Source: PIAAC 2013, Authors' calculations.

## 8. Appendix

**Table 1A. Descriptive statistics with missing values included**

<b>Age Recoded 5-Year Groups</b>	<b>%</b>
26-30	14.79
31-34	15.76
35-40	16.88
41-44	17.76
45-50	18.11
51-54	16.71
Missing	0.00
<b>Background - Born In Country</b>	
No	88.03
Yes	11.93
Missing	0.04
<b>Female</b>	
Male	50.37
Female	49.63
Missing	0.00
<b>Parental Higher Education In 3 Categories</b>	
Isced 1, 2, and 3C Short	38.04
Isced 3 (Excluding 3C Short) and 4	37.91
Isced 5 and 6	20.20
Missing	3.86
<b>Education - Highest Qualification - Age Of Completion, Categorized</b>	
Aged 15 or Younger	4.59
Aged 16-19	33.02
Aged 20-24	32.70
Aged 25-29	16.24
Aged 30-34	5.81
Aged 35 or Older	6.66
Missing	0.98
<b>Index Of Use Of Reading Skills At Work (Prose And Document Texts), Categorized</b>	
All Zero Response	4.58
Lowest to 20%	13.99
More than 20% to 40%	17.37
More than 40% to 60%	20.14
More than 60% to 80%	21.54
More than 80%	22.24
Missing	0.13
<b>Index Of Use Of Numeracy Skills At Work (Basic And Advanced), Categorized</b>	
All Zero Response	16.62
Lowest to 20%	14.42
More than 20% to 40%	15.50
More than 40% to 60%	16.34
More than 60% to 80%	18.05
More than 80%	18.93
Missing	0.13
<b>Index Of Use Of Writing Skills At Work, Categorized</b>	
All Zero Response	11.63
Lowest to 20%	13.58
More than 20% to 40%	16.72
More than 40% to 60%	18.35
More than 60% to 80%	20.27
More than 80%	19.32
Missing	0.13

**Table 1A. Descriptive statistics with missing values included (continued)**

<b>Index Of Use Of Influencing Skills At Work, Categorised</b>	<b>%</b>
All Zero Response	8.28
Lowest to 20%	15.76
More than 20% to 40%	16.86
More than 40% to 60%	18.19
More than 60% to 80%	19.61
More than 80%	21.16
Missing	0.13
<b>Index Of Use Of Reading Skills At Home (Prose And Document Texts), Categorised</b>	
All Zero Response	0.77
Lowest to 20%	14.01
More than 20% to 40%	19.69
More than 40% to 60%	22.53
More than 60% to 80%	22.52
More than 80%	20.45
Missing	0.04
<b>Index Of Use Of Numeracy Skills At Home (Basic And Advanced), Categorised</b>	
All Zero Response	8.11
Lowest To 20%	17.13
More than 20% to 40%	18.81
More than 40% to 60%	19.91
More than 60% to 80%	20.71
More than 80%	15.30
Missing	0.04
<b>Index Of Use Of Writing Skills At Home, Categorised</b>	
All Zero Response	7.87
Lowest to 20%	22.62
More than 20% to 40%	12.86
More than 40% to 60%	24.60
More than 60% to 80%	16.36
More than 80%	15.66
Missing	0.04
<b>Index Of Use Of ICT Skills At Home, Categorised</b>	
All Zero Response	0.61
Lowest to 20%	15.12
More than 20% to 40%	18.22
More than 40% to 60%	18.78
More than 60% to 80%	18.29
More than 80%	16.52
Missing	12.46
<b>Education - Highest Qualification - Years of education</b>	
(mean) Highest Level Of Education Obtained Imputed Into Years Of Education	13.61
(sd) Highest Level Of Education Obtained Imputed Into Years Of Education	2.82
(p50) Highest Level Of Education Obtained Imputed Into Years Of Education	14.00

Source: PIAAC 2013, Authors' calculations.

2012

- 2012/1, **Montolio, D.; Trujillo, E.:** "What drives investment in telecommunications? The role of regulation, firms' internationalization and market knowledge"
- 2012/2, **Giesen, K.; Suedekum, J.:** "The size distribution across all "cities": a unifying approach"
- 2012/3, **Foremny, D.; Riedel, N.:** "Business taxes and the electoral cycle"
- 2012/4, **García-Estévez, J.; Duch-Brown, N.:** "Student graduation: to what extent does university expenditure matter?"
- 2012/5, **Durán-Cabré, J.M.; Esteller-Moré, A.; Salvadori, L.:** "Empirical evidence on horizontal competition in tax enforcement"
- 2012/6, **Pickering, A.C.; Rockey, J.:** "Ideology and the growth of US state government"
- 2012/7, **Vergolini, L.; Zanini, N.:** "How does aid matter? The effect of financial aid on university enrolment decisions"
- 2012/8, **Backus, P.:** "Gibrat's law and legacy for non-profit organisations: a non-parametric analysis"
- 2012/9, **Jofre-Monseny, J.; Marín-López, R.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.:** "What underlies localization and urbanization economies? Evidence from the location of new firms"
- 2012/10, **Mantovani, A.; Vandekerckhove, J.:** "The strategic interplay between bundling and merging in complementary markets"
- 2012/11, **García-López, M.A.:** "Urban spatial structure, suburbanization and transportation in Barcelona"
- 2012/12, **Revelli, F.:** "Business taxation and economic performance in hierarchical government structures"
- 2012/13, **Arqué-Castells, P.; Mohnen, P.:** "Sunk costs, extensive R&D subsidies and permanent inducement effects"
- 2012/14, **Boffa, F.; Piolatto, A.; Ponzetto, G.:** "Centralization and accountability: theory and evidence from the Clean Air Act"
- 2012/15, **Cheshire, P.C.; Hilber, C.A.L.; Kaplanis, I.:** "Land use regulation and productivity – land matters: evidence from a UK supermarket chain"
- 2012/16, **Choi, A.; Calero, J.:** "The contribution of the disabled to the attainment of the Europe 2020 strategy headline targets"
- 2012/17, **Silva, J.I.; Vázquez-Grenno, J.:** "The ins and outs of unemployment in a two-tier labor market"
- 2012/18, **González-Val, R.; Lanaspa, L.; Sanz, F.:** "New evidence on Gibrat's law for cities"
- 2012/19, **Vázquez-Grenno, J.:** "Job search methods in times of crisis: native and immigrant strategies in Spain"
- 2012/20, **Lessmann, C.:** "Regional inequality and decentralization – an empirical analysis"
- 2012/21, **Nuevo-Chiquero, A.:** "Trends in shotgun marriages: the pill, the will or the cost?"
- 2012/22, **Piil Damm, A.:** "Neighborhood quality and labor market outcomes: evidence from quasi-random neighborhood assignment of immigrants"
- 2012/23, **Ploeckl, F.:** "Space, settlements, towns: the influence of geography and market access on settlement distribution and urbanization"
- 2012/24, **Algan, Y.; Hémet, C.; Laitin, D.:** "Diversity and local public goods: a natural experiment with exogenous residential allocation"
- 2012/25, **Martinez, D.; Sjögren, T.:** "Vertical externalities with lump-sum taxes: how much difference does unemployment make?"
- 2012/26, **Cubel, M.; Sanchez-Pages, S.:** "The effect of within-group inequality in a conflict against a unitary threat"
- 2012/27, **Andini, M.; De Blasio, G.; Durantoni, G.; Strange, W.C.:** "Marshallian labor market pooling: evidence from Italy"
- 2012/28, **Solé-Ollé, A.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.:** "Do political parties matter for local land use policies?"
- 2012/29, **Buonanno, P.; Durante, R.; Prarolo, G.; Vanin, P.:** "Poor institutions, rich mines: resource curse and the origins of the Sicilian mafia"
- 2012/30, **Anghel, B.; Cabrales, A.; Carro, J.M.:** "Evaluating a bilingual education program in Spain: the impact beyond foreign language learning"
- 2012/31, **Curto-Grau, M.; Solé-Ollé, A.; Sorribas-Navarro, P.:** "Partisan targeting of inter-governmental transfers & state interference in local elections: evidence from Spain"
- 2012/32, **Kappeler, A.; Solé-Ollé, A.; Stephan, A.; Väilä, T.:** "Does fiscal decentralization foster regional investment in productive infrastructure?"
- 2012/33, **Rizzo, L.; Zanardi, A.:** "Single vs double ballot and party coalitions: the impact on fiscal policy. Evidence from Italy"
- 2012/34, **Ramachandran, R.:** "Language use in education and primary schooling attainment: evidence from a natural experiment in Ethiopia"
- 2012/35, **Rothstein, J.:** "Teacher quality policy when supply matters"
- 2012/36, **Ahlfeldt, G.M.:** "The hidden dimensions of urbanity"
- 2012/37, **Mora, T.; Gil, J.; Sicras-Mainar, A.:** "The influence of BMI, obesity and overweight on medical costs: a panel data approach"
- 2012/38, **Pelegrín, A.; García-Quevedo, J.:** "Which firms are involved in foreign vertical integration?"

2012/39, Agasisti, T.; Longobardi, S.: "Inequality in education: can Italian disadvantaged students close the gap? A focus on resilience in the Italian school system"

---

2013

---

2013/1, Sánchez-Vidal, M.; González-Val, R.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Sequential city growth in the US: does age matter?"

2013/2, Hortas Rico, M.: "Sprawl, blight and the role of urban containment policies. Evidence from US cities"

2013/3, Lampón, J.F.; Cabanelas-Lorenzo, P.; Lago-Peñas, S.: "Why firms relocate their production overseas? The answer lies inside: corporate, logistic and technological determinants"

2013/4, Montolio, D.; Planells, S.: "Does tourism boost criminal activity? Evidence from a top touristic country"

2013/5, García-López, M.A.; Holl, A.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Suburbanization and highways: when the Romans, the Bourbons and the first cars still shape Spanish cities"

2013/6, Bosch, N.; Espasa, M.; Montolio, D.: "Should large Spanish municipalities be financially compensated? Costs and benefits of being a capital/central municipality"

2013/7, Escardíbul, J.O.; Mora, T.: "Teacher gender and student performance in mathematics. Evidence from Catalonia"

2013/8, Arqué-Castells, P.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Banking towards development: evidence from the Spanish banking expansion plan"

2013/9, Asensio, J.; Gómez-Lobo, A.; Matas, A.: "How effective are policies to reduce gasoline consumption? Evaluating a quasi-natural experiment in Spain"

2013/10, Jofre-Monseny, J.: "The effects of unemployment benefits on migration in lagging regions"

2013/11, Segarra, A.; García-Quevedo, J.; Teruel, M.: "Financial constraints and the failure of innovation projects"

2013/12, Jerrim, J.; Choi, A.: "The mathematics skills of school children: How does England compare to the high performing East Asian jurisdictions?"

2013/13, González-Val, R.; Tirado-Fabregat, D.A.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Market potential and city growth: Spain 1860-1960"

2013/14, Lundqvist, H.: "Is it worth it? On the returns to holding political office"

2013/15, Ahlfeldt, G.M.; Maennig, W.: "Homevoters vs. leasevoters: a spatial analysis of airport effects"

2013/16, Lampón, J.F.; Lago-Peñas, S.: "Factors behind international relocation and changes in production geography in the European automobile components industry"

2013/17, Guío, J.M.; Choi, A.: "Evolution of the school failure risk during the 2000 decade in Spain: analysis of Pisa results with a two-level logistic model"

2013/18, Dahlby, B.; Rodden, J.: "A political economy model of the vertical fiscal gap and vertical fiscal imbalances in a federation"

2013/19, Acacia, F.; Cubel, M.: "Strategic voting and happiness"

2013/20, Hellstein, J.K.; Kutzbach, M.J.; Neumark, D.: "Do labor market networks have an important spatial dimension?"

2013/21, Pellegrino, G.; Savona, M.: "Is money all? Financing versus knowledge and demand constraints to innovation"

2013/22, Lin, J.: "Regional resilience"

2013/23, Costa-Campi, M.T.; Duch-Brown, N.; García-Quevedo, J.: "R&D drivers and obstacles to innovation in the energy industry"

2013/24, Huisman, R.; Stradnic, V.; Westgaard, S.: "Renewable energy and electricity prices: indirect empirical evidence from hydro power"

2013/25, Dargaud, E.; Mantovani, A.; Reggiani, C.: "The fight against cartels: a transatlantic perspective"

2013/26, Lambertini, L.; Mantovani, A.: "Feedback equilibria in a dynamic renewable resource oligopoly: pre-emption, voracity and exhaustion"

2013/27, Feld, L.P.; Kalb, A.; Moessinger, M.D.; Osterloh, S.: "Sovereign bond market reactions to fiscal rules and no-bailout clauses – the Swiss experience"

2013/28, Hilber, C.A.L.; Vermeulen, W.: "The impact of supply constraints on house prices in England"

2013/29, Revelli, F.: "Tax limits and local democracy"

2013/30, Wang, R.; Wang, W.: "Dress-up contest: a dark side of fiscal decentralization"

2013/31, Dargaud, E.; Mantovani, A.; Reggiani, C.: "The fight against cartels: a transatlantic perspective"

2013/32, Saarimaa, T.; Tukiainen, J.: "Local representation and strategic voting: evidence from electoral boundary reforms"

2013/33, Agasisti, T.; Murtinu, S.: "Are we wasting public money? No! The effects of grants on Italian university students' performances"

2013/34, Flacher, D.; Harari-Kermadec, H.; Moulin, L.: "Financing higher education: a contributory scheme"

2013/35, Carozzi, F.; Repetto, L.: "Sending the pork home: birth town bias in transfers to Italian municipalities"

2013/36, Coad, A.; Frankish, J.S.; Roberts, R.G.; Storey, D.J.: "New venture survival and growth: Does the fog lift?"

2013/37, Giuliotti, M.; Grossi, L.; Waterson, M.: "Revenues from storage in a competitive electricity market: Empirical evidence from Great Britain"

---

2014

2014/1, Montolio, D.; Planells-Struse, S.: "When police patrols matter. The effect of police proximity on citizens' crime risk perception"

2014/2, García-López, M.A.; Solé-Ollé, A.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Do land use policies follow road construction?"

2014/3, Piolatto, A.; Rablen, M.D.: "Prospect theory and tax evasion: a reconsideration of the Yitzhaki puzzle"

2014/4, Cuberes, D.; González-Val, R.: "The effect of the Spanish Reconquest on Iberian Cities"

2014/5, Durán-Cabré, J.M.; Esteller-Moré, E.: "Tax professionals' view of the Spanish tax system: efficiency, equity and tax planning"

2014/6, Cubel, M.; Sanchez-Pages, S.: "Difference-form group contests"

2014/7, Del Rey, E.; Racionero, M.: "Choosing the type of income-contingent loan: risk-sharing versus risk-pooling"

2014/8, Torregrosa Hetland, S.: "A fiscal revolution? Progressivity in the Spanish tax system, 1960-1990"

2014/9, Piolatto, A.: "Itemised deductions: a device to reduce tax evasion"

2014/10, Costa, M.T.; García-Quevedo, J.; Segarra, A.: "Energy efficiency determinants: an empirical analysis of Spanish innovative firms"

2014/11, García-Quevedo, J.; Pellegrino, G.; Savona, M.: "Reviving demand-pull perspectives: the effect of demand uncertainty and stagnancy on R&D strategy"

2014/12, Calero, J.; Escardíbul, J.O.: "Barriers to non-formal professional training in Spain in periods of economic growth and crisis. An analysis with special attention to the effect of the previous human capital of workers"

2014/13, Cubel, M.; Sanchez-Pages, S.: "Gender differences and stereotypes in the beauty"

2014/14, Piolatto, A.; Schuett, F.: "Media competition and electoral politics"

2014/15, Montolio, D.; Trillas, F.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Regulatory environment and firm performance in EU telecommunications services"

2014/16, Lopez-Rodriguez, J.; Martinez, D.: "Beyond the R&D effects on innovation: the contribution of non-R&D activities to TFP growth in the EU"

2014/17, González-Val, R.: "Cross-sectional growth in US cities from 1990 to 2000"

2014/18, Vona, F.; Nicolli, F.: "Energy market liberalization and renewable energy policies in OECD countries"

2014/19, Curto-Grau, M.: "Voters' responsiveness to public employment policies"

2014/20, Duro, J.A.; Teixidó-Figueras, J.; Padilla, E.: "The causal factors of international inequality in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita: a regression-based inequality decomposition analysis"

2014/21, Fleten, S.E.; Huisman, R.; Kilic, M.; Pennings, E.; Westgaard, S.: "Electricity futures prices: time varying sensitivity to fundamentals"

2014/22, Afcha, S.; García-Quevedo, J.: "The impact of R&D subsidies on R&D employment composition"

2014/23, Mir-Artigues, P.; del Río, P.: "Combining tariffs, investment subsidies and soft loans in a renewable electricity deployment policy"

2014/24, Romero-Jordán, D.; del Río, P.; Peñasco, C.: "Household electricity demand in Spanish regions. Public policy implications"

2014/25, Salinas, P.: "The effect of decentralization on educational outcomes: real autonomy matters!"

2014/26, Solé-Ollé, A.; Sorribas-Navarro, P.: "Does corruption erode trust in government? Evidence from a recent surge of local scandals in Spain"

2014/27, Costas-Pérez, E.: "Political corruption and voter turnout: mobilization or disaffection?"

2014/28, Cubel, M.; Nuevo-Chiquero, A.; Sanchez-Pages, S.; Vidal-Fernandez, M.: "Do personality traits affect productivity? Evidence from the LAB"

2014/29, Teresa Costa, M.T.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Retail price effects of feed-in tariff regulation"

2014/30, Kilic, M.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "The stabilizing effect of hydro reservoir levels on intraday power prices under wind forecast errors"

2014/31, Costa-Campi, M.T.; Duch-Brown, N.: "The diffusion of patented oil and gas technology with environmental uses: a forward patent citation analysis"

2014/32, Ramos, R.; Sanromá, E.; Simón, H.: "Public-private sector wage differentials by type of contract: evidence from Spain"

2014/33, Backus, P.; Esteller-Moré, A.: "Is income redistribution a form of insurance, a public good or both?"

2014/34, Huisman, R.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Costs of power supply flexibility: the indirect impact of a Spanish policy change"

- 2014/35, Jerrim, J.; Choi, A.; Simancas Rodríguez, R.: "Two-sample two-stage least squares (TSTLS) estimates of earnings mobility: how consistent are they?"
- 2014/36, Mantovani, A.; Tarola, O.; Vergari, C.: "Hedonic quality, social norms, and environmental campaigns"
- 2014/37, Ferraresi, M.; Galmarini, U.; Rizzo, L.: "Local infrastructures and externalities: Does the size matter?"
- 2014/38, Ferraresi, M.; Rizzo, L.; Zanardi, A.: "Policy outcomes of single and double-ballot elections"

## 2015

- 2015/1, Foremny, D.; Freier, R.; Moessinger, M-D.; Yeter, M.: "Overlapping political budget cycles in the legislative and the executive"
- 2015/2, Colombo, L.; Galmarini, U.: "Optimality and distortionary lobbying: regulating tobacco consumption"
- 2015/3, Pellegrino, G.: "Barriers to innovation: Can firm age help lower them?"
- 2015/4, Hémet, C.: "Diversity and employment prospects: neighbors matter!"
- 2015/5, Cubel, M.; Sanchez-Pages, S.: "An axiomatization of difference-form contest success functions"
- 2015/6, Choi, A.; Jerrim, J.: "The use (and misuse) of Pisa in guiding policy reform: the case of Spain"
- 2015/7, Durán-Cabré, J.M.; Esteller-Moré, A.; Salvadori, L.: "Empirical evidence on tax cooperation between sub-central administrations"
- 2015/8, Batalla-Bejerano, J.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Analysing the sensitivity of electricity system operational costs to deviations in supply and demand"
- 2015/9, Salvadori, L.: "Does tax enforcement counteract the negative effects of terrorism? A case study of the Basque Country"
- 2015/10, Montolio, D.; Planells-Struse, S.: "How time shapes crime: the temporal impacts of football matches on crime"
- 2015/11, Piolatto, A.: "Online booking and information: competition and welfare consequences of review aggregators"
- 2015/12, Boffa, F.; Pingali, V.; Sala, F.: "Strategic investment in merchant transmission: the impact of capacity utilization rules"
- 2015/13, Slemrod, J.: "Tax administration and tax systems"
- 2015/14, Arqué-Castells, P.; Cartaxo, R.M.; García-Quevedo, J.; Mira Godinho, M.: "How inventor royalty shares affect patenting and income in Portugal and Spain"
- 2015/15, Montolio, D.; Planells-Struse, S.: "Measuring the negative externalities of a private leisure activity: hooligans and pickpockets around the stadium"
- 2015/16, Batalla-Bejerano, J.; Costa-Campi, M.T.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Unexpected consequences of liberalisation: metering, losses, load profiles and cost settlement in Spain's electricity system"
- 2015/17, Batalla-Bejerano, J.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Impacts of intermittent renewable generation on electricity system costs"
- 2015/18, Costa-Campi, M.T.; Paniagua, J.; Trujillo-Baute, E.: "Are energy market integrations a green light for FDI?"
- 2015/19, Jofre-Monseny, J.; Sánchez-Vidal, M.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Big plant closures and agglomeration economies"
- 2015/20, Garcia-López, M.A.; Hémet, C.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "How does transportation shape intrametropolitan growth? An answer from the regional express rail"
- 2015/21, Esteller-Moré, A.; Galmarini, U.; Rizzo, L.: "Fiscal equalization under political pressures"
- 2015/22, Escardíbul, J.O.; Afcha, S.: "Determinants of doctorate holders' job satisfaction. An analysis by employment sector and type of satisfaction in Spain"
- 2015/23, Aidt, T.; Asatryan, Z.; Badalyan, L.; Heinemann, F.: "Vote buying or (political) business (cycles) as usual?"
- 2015/24, Albæk, K.: "A test of the 'lose it or use it' hypothesis in labour markets around the world"
- 2015/25, Angelucci, C.; Russo, A.: "Petty corruption and citizen feedback"
- 2015/26, Moriconi, S.; Picard, P.M.; Zanj, S.: "Commodity taxation and regulatory competition"
- 2015/27, Brekke, K.R.; Garcia Pires, A.J.; Schindler, D.; Schjelderup, G.: "Capital taxation and imperfect competition: ACE vs. CBIT"
- 2015/28, Redonda, A.: "Market structure, the functional form of demand and the sensitivity of the vertical reaction function"
- 2015/29, Ramos, R.; Sanromá, E.; Simón, H.: "An analysis of wage differentials between full-and part-time workers in Spain"
- 2015/30, Garcia-López, M.A.; Pasidis, I.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.: "Express delivery to the suburbs the effects of transportation in Europe's heterogeneous cities"
- 2015/31, Torregrosa, S.: "Bypassing progressive taxation: fraud and base erosion in the Spanish income tax (1970-2001)"

- 2015/32, Choi, H.; Choi, A.:** "When one door closes: the impact of the hagwon curfew on the consumption of private tutoring in the republic of Korea"
- 2015/33, Escardíbul, J.O.; Helmy, N.:** "Decentralisation and school autonomy impact on the quality of education: the case of two MENA countries"
- 2015/34, González-Val, R.; Marcén, M.:** "Divorce and the business cycle: a cross-country analysis"
- 2015/35, Calero, J.; Choi, A.:** "The distribution of skills among the European adult population and unemployment: a comparative approach"
- 2015/36, Mediavilla, M.; Zancajo, A.:** "Is there real freedom of school choice? An analysis from Chile"
- 2015/37, Daniele, G.:** "Strike one to educate one hundred: organized crime, political selection and politicians' ability"
- 2015/38, González-Val, R.; Marcén, M.:** "Regional unemployment, marriage, and divorce"
- 2015/39, Foremny, D.; Jofre-Monseny, J.; Solé-Ollé, A.:** "'Hold that ghost': using notches to identify manipulation of population-based grants"
- 2015/40, Mancebón, M.J.; Ximénez-de-Embún, D.P.; Mediavilla, M.; Gómez-Sancho, J.M.:** "Does educational management model matter? New evidence for Spain by a quasiexperimental approach"
- 2015/41, Daniele, G.; Geys, B.:** "Exposing politicians' ties to criminal organizations: the effects of local government dissolutions on electoral outcomes in Southern Italian municipalities"
- 2015/42, Ooghe, E.:** "Wage policies, employment, and redistributive efficiency"

---

**2016**

---

- 2016/1, Galletta, S.:** "Law enforcement, municipal budgets and spillover effects: evidence from a quasi-experiment in Italy"
- 2016/2, Flatley, L.; Giulietti, M.; Grossi, L.; Trujillo-Baute, E.; Waterson, M.:** "Analysing the potential economic value of energy storage"
- 2016/3, Calero, J.; Murillo Huertas, I.P.; Raymond Bara, J.L.:** "Education, age and skills: an analysis using the PIAAC survey"
- 2016/4, Costa-Campi, M.T.; Daví-Arderius, D.; Trujillo-Baute, E.:** "The economic impact of electricity losses"
- 2016/5, Falck, O.; Heimisch, A.; Wiederhold, S.:** "Returns to ICT skills"
- 2016/6, Halmenschlager, C.; Mantovani, A.:** "On the private and social desirability of mixed bundling in complementary markets with cost savings"
- 2016/7, Choi, A.; Gil, M.; Mediavilla, M.; Valbuena, J.:** "Double toil and trouble: grade retention and academic performance"
- 2016/8, González-Val, R.:** "Historical urban growth in Europe (1300–1800)"
- 2016/9, Guio, J.; Choi, A.; Escardíbul, J.O.:** "Labor markets, academic performance and the risk of school dropout: evidence for Spain"
- 2016/10, Bianchini, S.; Pellegrino, G.; Tamagni, F.:** "Innovation strategies and firm growth"
- 2016/11, Jofre-Monseny, J.; Silva, J.I.; Vázquez-Grenno, J.:** "Local labor market effects of public employment"
- 2016/12, Sanchez-Vidal, M.:** "Small shops for sale! The effects of big-box openings on grocery stores"
- 2016/13, Costa-Campi, M.T.; García-Quevedo, J.; Martínez-Ros, E.:** "What are the determinants of investment in environmental R&D?"
- 2016/14, García-López, M.A.; Hémet, C.; Viladecans-Marsal, E.:** "Next train to the polycentric city: The effect of railroads on subcenter formation"
- 2016/15, Matas, Anna; Raymond, José-Luis; Dominguez, Andrés:** "Changes in fuel economy: An analysis of the Spanish car market"
- 2016/16, Leme, Alfonso; Escardíbul, Josep-Oriol:** "The effect of a specialized versus a general upper secondary school curriculum on students' performance and inequality. A difference-in-differences cross country comparison"



UNIVERSITAT DE  
BARCELONA

 **IEB**  
Institut  
d'Economia  
de Barcelona

[ieb@ub.edu](mailto:ieb@ub.edu)  
[www.ieb.edu](http://www.ieb.edu)

Human Capital

IEB Working Paper